

**Differentiating Savages, Soldiers and Warriors
The Importance of Disciplined Violence to Civilization
(and Why Nearly All Feminists Are Savages)**

Revised May 19, 2006

by
James Roger Brown
Director
THE SOCIOLOGY CENTER™
P.O. Box 101
Worthington, KY 41183-0101
(606) 836-7613
thesociologist@adelphia.net

Social Amnesia

Feminists do not understand the history and evolution of human violence. In their Female Supremacist delusions, they have become yet another confirmation of the adage, "Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it."¹³ Feminists fail to comprehend the magnitude of an essential contribution men have made to the existence and stability of civilization in the social skills for dealing with violence developed over the millennia. The major consequence of their blanket condemnation of all things male is that Feminists have failed to acquire essential violence control social skills and have degenerated into Savages. Feminists will remain Savages until they either reinvent social skills for the disciplined use of violence for themselves or recognize them as valuable male contributions to modern civilization that are to be emulated.

In dismissing all things male as irrelevant and inferior, destructive Feminists have discarded valuable social skills men have developed over human history to deal with violence in themselves and the world. The Feminist's self-indulgent emotional rampage to eliminate "male" violence will not remove violence from the world. It will remove the control of violence. As revealed in almost daily news reports, the Feminist Generation of children has acquired neither perspective nor the social skills to control their ability to do violence when angered, just as their Feminist mentors.

Implementation of the Feminist agenda has deprived children of the opportunity to learn the social skills necessary for disciplining themselves in their personal use of violence, a demonstrable necessity in a hostile universe. Many of the murdered children at Columbine, and similar school shootings, died for the sole reason that no adult had ever taught them how to deal with threats to their lives. Ignorance of the social skills necessary to deal with violence in the world may create the bliss of a false sense of security, but it can get you killed.

Despite falsehoods to the contrary, the best defended population is one in which every member is trained in the arts and skills necessary to defend themselves, individually and collectively. The foolishness of trying to replace learned social skills with ignorance is made manifest by the fruits of such interventions in the spiraling violence among our children.

It will also be made manifest when the Feminist Generation of children is called upon to serve in the military in future conflicts. They will be returning in body-bags.

Basic military training is best used to hone and structure existing skills. It cannot turn the totally ignorant into the totally informed in a few weeks. Emotional skills, and the specific neural structures necessary for specific types of eye-hand coordination that take years to form, cannot be duplicated in weeks. That is why loss of the first casualties in combat *improves* unit combat efficiency. In armed conflicts, the incompetent are the first to die. When the incompetent are dead, those remaining are no longer hampered by the distraction of having to compensate for their incompetence.

This is true for the invasion of a home, the invasion of a school, or the invasion of a nation.

The only good family is a dead family; the only good religion a dead religion

One component of the destructive Feminist strategy to eliminate male violence is the destruction of the family as the basic unit of civilization. Observations of some of our fellow social mammals demonstrate the consequences of this folly. Orphan elephants which grow up without male parents exhibit the same undisciplined violence¹⁴ as human children raised under the Feminist driven education agenda, social work programs, and psychological interventions that operate in pursuit of the stated destructive Feminist's goals:

“The cultural institutions which embody and enforce those interlocked aberrations--for instance, law, art, religion, nation-states, the family, tribe, or commune based on father-right--these institutions are real and they must be destroyed.”⁵

Just as with the juvenile elephants, the Feminist's assault on religion and family has produced a generation of children without the necessary social skills to use violence in any disciplined manner.

The intact family is the most effective and stable means of building the foundation upon which children will construct the social skills for handling marital conflicts in their adult lives. Being ignorant of the social skills to manage violence does not lead to security, it leads to enslavement or extermination by those who do know how to effectively manage violence.

Mastery of learned spiritual awareness skills is critical to achieving the most disciplined state of violence control. A spiritual recognition of something greater than oneself, and that all life is connected, are essential understandings vital to advanced social skills that *limit* the use of violence.

Your monkey was an uncle

The human species came from an animal past. At one time our ancestors lived the same violent lives as the animals surrounding them. When hungry, they killed to eat. When threatened, they ran. When cornered, they defended themselves by available means.

The establishment of permanent dwellings required a different set of behaviors. Protecting a fixed residence required disciplined, purposeful violence in several ways.

When hungry in a permanent habitation, our ancestors could hunt and kill to eat, but it required a level of skills necessary to ensure a high success rate hunting game that may only be available when migration brought the animals within reasonable traveling range. This meant that skills had to be acquired and practiced so they would be reliable when needed.

Living in one location had the advantage of allowing both animals and plants to be domesticated. However, the availability of a reliable food supply eventually attracts scavengers, both human and animal. Fleeing at the first sign of trouble was no longer the most effective survival response if that behavior would result in the loss of essential food stocks. Human beings had to make the transition from engaging in self-defense violence only when cornered to more sophisticated and discriminating disciplined uses of violence.

Techniques evolved to train the next generation in the practical skills necessary for disciplined violence. These teaching and learning methods made possible the retention and accumulation of valuable skills and knowledge necessary for engaging in disciplined human violence. Whether violence, or the threat of violence, is beneficial or detrimental to general human welfare, depends upon the motives of persons engaged in violence. Human beings who engage in violence can be classified as Savages, Soldiers, or Warriors.

The value of values

Savages engage in violence to gratify their emotions and appetites. Savages can be highly trained and skilled in the violence arts necessary to obtain what they want to gratify their emotions and appetites. Being well trained in the arts of violence does not, in and of itself, raise one above the level of brute.

Soldiers act under the learned constraints of a Military Code of Conduct, which in modern times includes international agreements regarding the conduct of war. Soldiers acting under such constraints are thereby distinguished from Savages, although soldiers can easily degenerate into Savages through lack of proper command and control or through intentional corruption of the use of armed forces.

There are any number of examples of the collapse of civil order that result when a Military Code of Conduct is abandoned, fails, or is corrupted. The contemporary abuse of organized forces operating as Savages in Zimbabwe are one example.

A more complex example is the conflict between the Israeli 'military' and Palestinians. The inability to restore order in the Middle East is, in part, the result of neither side operating under any consistent genuine Military Code of Conduct.

Both sides in this conflict behave as nothing but well trained Savages. Soldiers do not enter civilian populations with heavy weapons shooting unarmed civilians at random and do not allow the injured to bleed to death by denying them available medical attention. Savages do. Soldiers do not get aboard busloads of unarmed civilians and blow them up. Savages do. Soldiers do not deny injured civilian women available medical treatment while forcing their husbands and children to watch them bleed to death. Savages do. Soldiers do not execute unarmed bound prisoners. Savages do.

No amount of diplomacy is going to establish peace in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict until some effective Military Code of Conduct is established or reestablished in

both Israeli and Palestinian forces.

Warriors evolved from perhaps the most important human innovation that developed with regard to the disciplined use of violence for common purposes – Sacred Hunt Societies.¹² Sacred Hunt Societies were critical in making the transition to permanent habitations. It was an essential development that made possible the transition from “it is every man for himself” to “one for all and all for one,” an important element for social cohesion in our ancestor’s violent world. While few Sacred Hunt Societies survive today, the social processes these Societies developed to initiate and train small unit fighting forces became the foundation and model for training armies.

A vital and innovative component of the Sacred Hunt Societies was the spiritual aspect of violence and the spiritual consequences of killing both animals and other human beings. It may have involved recognition and observance of a spiritual relationship with a sponsoring deity or a totem animal. It is important to follow this spiritual element through human history because it is an important characteristic that distinguishes Warriors from Soldiers and Savages.

As the size and complexity of armies increased, sometimes incorporating mercenary units or individuals from different cultures and religions, armies became secular and the spiritual component was no longer incorporated in the training and integration process. This loss of ‘spiritual’ values for members of various armies across recorded history is a factor in some of the atrocities committed. If the members of an army believe the “enemy” to be a soulless animal, unlike themselves, there would be no need to treat them as fellow human beings. In the absence of discipline from spiritual rules, armies came to operate under a Military Code of Conduct in the form of standing orders from the general or ruler. Admittedly, there were long stretches of time in history when generals and rulers definitely took the minimalist approach in their respective Military Codes of Conduct.

Veterans of the United States military are among the victims of the lack of consideration of spiritual consequences to military service. No one from the basic training process to discharge from service process provides any information or guidance for dealing with the spiritual consequences of killing other human beings. The only insights about those consequences come from Veterans who have near death experiences and report feeling all of the physical pain and emotional distress of every individual they killed during their term of military service. This lack of preparing those who kill under a Military Code of Conduct for the spiritual consequences of actually killing another human being is perhaps the most profound distinction between soldiers and warriors.

Despite the elimination of spirituality in training to participate in a large military force, the link between spirituality and the physical skills required to deal with violence did not come to an end. The Warrior traditions, as they exist today, evolved from the merger of the skills necessary for disciplined violence and religious spirituality. One might immediately think of the Warrior monks of Asia and Tibet. It is not well known, but the Catholic Church maintained a Warrior monk tradition until the orders were disbanded at the end of the Crusades.

Perhaps the most evolved martial art, with regard to disciplined violence, is Aikido. The central philosophy of Aikido might be summarized as “Human beings have a right to defend themselves if violence cannot be avoided (avoidance of violence being

the highest learned skill of self-defense), but in defending themselves, human beings should not add their own foolishness to that of someone else by injuring the other person.”

Among the important characteristics that identify Warriors, and distinguish them from Soldiers and Savages, are beliefs that life is sacred, disciplined violence should only be used as a last resort, emotions and appetites must be mastered, fear of death must be mastered or set aside, and the source of greatest individual power and abilities comes from gaining conscious awareness and connection with the divine spark within. These, and other important elements, form a Warriors Code of Honor. A Warrior’s allegiance and commitment to his Code of Honor, including his commitment to the divine force of life, transcends all other obligations.

A Warrior can perform the duties of a Soldier, but he does so under his Code of Honor, a higher standard than a Military Code of Conduct. A key distinction between Soldiers and Warriors is that the Military Code of Conduct under which Soldiers function ceases to be of use as a daily guide when military service ends and civilian life resumes. Once adopted, a Warrior’s Code of Honor guides him throughout his entire life in all forms of conflict and in the absence of conflict.

These innovations and distinctions between Savages, Soldiers, and Warriors are essential contributions men have made to civilization, because, like it or not, men have been the gender that historically has had to consistently deal with violence in the world, whether hunting or dealing with other hostile human beings and animals. Having that responsibility, men have literally had to evolve teachable social skills to discipline the use of violence or die.

On the Feminist hand

Women, like it or not, have historically had other duties and have not developed any collective skills for dealing with the responsibility of their potential to be Savages, Soldiers, or Warriors. This deficiency is evident in the few feeble attempts Feminists have made to come to grips with their lack of social skills regarding when, how, and if to engage in violence. For example, in *Justice Is A Woman With A Sword*, De Clarke struggles with the reality that women have never developed any skills and discipline in the use of violence and demonstrates the delusional state of mind that women are not already violent:

“And one last great myth: ‘Violence never solves anything.’ In the grand philosophical sense those words may ring true. Violence is like money: it can't make you happy, save your soul, make you a better person—but it certainly can solve things. When the winners exterminate the losers, historical conflicts are permanently solved. Many a high-ranking criminal has lived to a comfortable and respected old age only because a few pesky witnesses were no longer alive to testify. Many a dissatisfied husband has got rid of an unwanted wife. More women than we know have probably got rid of abusive husbands. Violence definitely solves some things. A dead rapist will not commit any more rapes: he's been solved. Violence is a seductive solution because it seems easy and quick; violence is a glamorous commercial property in our time; violence is a

tool, an addiction, a sin, a desperate resort, a hobby, depending on where you look and who you ask.

“I am not here to lay out a list of easy answers, but a tangle of difficult questions. Violence may be a tool and a tactic that feminists should use; certainly we ought to be putting some serious thought into it. If we refuse it, it should not be because it offends against our romantic notion of morally superior Womanhood, but for some better and more thoughtful reason. If we accept it, we had better figure out how to avoid becoming corrupted by it.”

Due to the historical deficiency of any evolution of skills among women regarding the disciplined use of violence for the general benefit, not even the minimum of a Code of Conduct, let alone a Code of Honor, exists among Feminists in particular and women in general.

The rare instances of women exhibiting Warrior characteristics are to be found among those with strong religious faiths, the critical component of a Warrior's Code of Honor. A sterling example is the work of the Women's Emergency Committee for Public Schools^{3,9} created by women of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas during the Central High School integration crisis. In the true character of Warriors, these unarmed women took on a superior force in the form of Arkansas Governor Faubus, who closed the schools rather than integrate, the armed vigilantes roaming the streets, and their own husbands. Without resorting to physical violence themselves, at the risk of their lives, they succeeded in removing and replacing the School Board so the schools could open the following year. One example of the character of these women is Mrs. Margaret Kolb, a Baptist and the widow of a psychiatrist, who still daily devotes her life to helping others, despite her advanced age. You will not find this behavior among the Savage Feminists. Savage Feminists will be found where they perceive opportunities exist to continue the destruction of civilization or to destroy specific men with rape and child abuse allegations, without regard to their truth or falsity.

Savage Feminists are so devoid of violence management skills, they seem unaware that they regularly use violence in the manner of genuine brutes. Their use of violence is driven by their emotions and appetites. When an angry woman falsely accuses a man of rape or child abuse, her manipulations of the powers of government are the violent acts of a cunning brute. When a man-hating woman employed in some positions of authority abuses her position to damage the life, reputation, or economic welfare of a man, she engages in the violence of a cunning brute. Contriving to have another person accomplish violence on one's behalf does not absolve Feminists from being accurately described as Savages.

If there are any doubts that Feminists in general are devoid of any comprehension of the significant contribution men have made to the control of violence necessary for civilization to even exist in its current form, just ask the next Feminist you encounter if her conflict with men is governed by a Code of Conduct or if her life is lived under a Code of Honor. On the remote possibility the Feminist states that she has one or the other, be certain to ask her to explain to you what her Code is so you can compare it to a real one.

The best demonstration of reality is reality

There are men who are Savages. There are men who are Soldiers. There are men who are Warriors. How many women are Savages, Soldiers and Warriors? To compare men and women with regard to violence, it would be necessary to determine the percent of the male and female populations who commit hostile acts to gratify their emotions and appetites. What percent of the male and female populations are guided in dealing with violence by a Soldier's Code of Conduct?. What percent of the male and female populations are guided in dealing with violence by a Warrior's Code of Honor? The resulting distribution would reveal which gender has been more successful at coping with the human potential to both commit and prevent violence.

Instead of a blanket condemnation of all men as violent rapists, Feminists should be thanking men for the progress made, to the benefit of all human beings, in developing the advanced social skills now available for dealing with violence in human beings and the world. The martial arts for women's self defense courses, the Warrior training techniques for mastering the inner skills of spiritual awareness, and the techniques of self-discipline necessary to deal with violence are now available to women because they were developed out of our common violent history by men.

Those tempted to wish upon Savage Feminists the hell they would create for themselves if all things male *were* removed from their lives, should consider whether their own response is that of a Savage, Soldier or Warrior. There is a reason for considering a response carefully. For civilization to continue, those of us who do not want our children living in the hell these Feminists are on the verge of successfully establishing must find a way to stop them in a manner which sustains and affirms civilized existence in the most enlightened Warrior tradition. Failure is an *EMINENT* option if no constructive mechanism is found to stop and reverse the damage man-hating Feminists have already done to an entire generation of children in preventing them from learning the social skills necessary to master the disciplined uses of violence that were essential and necessary to create and sustain human civilization.

Bibliography

1. Andre, Jermaine. "WARRIORSHIP." [NOTE: This article is no longer directly accessible. Go to <http://jermaineandre.com/index.php> and type "Warriorship" in the search box at the top of the left column. Click on "Thoughts on Warriorship and Fighting."]
2. Baer, Freddie. "Valerie Solanas - Biography." <http://www.womynkind.org/valbio.htm> or <http://www.warholstars.org/warhol/warhol1/warhol1b/valeriesolanas.html>
3. Brewer, Vivion Lenon. *The Embattled Ladies of Little Rock: 1958 to 1963 The Struggle to Save Public Education at Central High*. The Lost Coast Press, Ft Bragg, California, 1999.
4. Clark, James. "Bushido: The Way Of The Warrior: Introduction." Pacific University, Asian Studies Home Page. <http://mcel.pacificu.edu/as/students/bushido/bindex.html> [NOTE: Although translated as "Way of the Warrior," Bushido is a corrupted Warrior tradition that merges the Buddhist Warrior Code of Honor with a Military Code of Conduct emphasizing loyalty to the command structure above other obligations.]

5. Dworkin, Andrea. *LETTERS FROM A WAR ZONE: Part III: TAKE BACK THE DAY: Biological Superiority: The World's Most Dangerous and Deadly Idea*, 1977. Andrea Dworkin.
<http://www.nostatusquo.com/ACLU/dworkin/WarZoneChaptIIID.html>
6. Elk, David Little. "Warrior Societies." Hehaka 7 Productions, 2001. [NOTE: The original article is no longer accessible. Here is another general account of Native American Warrior Societies: WARRIOR SOCIETIES IN CONTEMPORARY INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES
<http://thunderbay.indymedia.org/news/2005/07/20464.php>]
7. Hammett, Stephanie L. "The Men about Town: The Characterization and Socialization of the Medieval Hero." Hanover College Department of History
<http://history.hanover.edu/hhr/hhr4-4.html>
8. Matrasko, Cheryl. "Aikido World Journal - Book Review - Philosophy of Aikido: *The Philosophy of Aikido* by John Stevens."
<http://www.aikido-world.com/Reviews/JStevens-Review.htm>
9. Murphy, Sarah. *Breaking the Silence: Little Rocks Women's Committee to Open Our School 1958 to 1963*. University of Arkansas Press, Arkansas, 1997.
10. Sestir, Valerie S. "History of the Samurai: Warrior Class of Japan." American Kang Duk Won Karate Association, 1995.
<http://www.americankangdukwon.com/samurai.html>
11. Tew, Rick. "FAQ: Tew Ryu Ninjitsu the Martial Science - Ninjutsu - Martial Arts." [NOTE: The article originally cited is no longer available. Wikipedia has an informative article on Ninjutsu at <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ninjutsu>]
12. Zebrowski, Mitch. "THE BROTHERHOOD OF THE SACRED HUNT." [NOTE: The article cited is now on a subpage under the link "Tales of Our Hunts" at the B.O.S.H. organization web site <http://sacredhunt.org/>]
13. QUOTE: "Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it." CITES: George Santayana, *The Life of Reason or The Phases of Human Progress: Reason in Common Sense* 284 (2nd ed., Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, New York 1924 (originally published 1905 Charles Scribner's Sons)(appears in chapter XII, "Flux and Constancy in Human Nature")). George Santayana, *The Life of Reason or The Phases of Human Progress* 82 (one-volume edition, Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, New York 1954)(appears in Book I, Reason in Common Sense, chapter 10, "Flux and Constancy in Human Nature"). http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Santayana
14. *What happens to young elephants when adult elephants are killed!!*
Juvenile elephants on rhino killing spree (February 14, 2000) According to environment reporter Jill Gowans, delinquent juvenile elephants are killing rhinos in KwaZulu-Natal's Hluhluwe-Umfolozi Park. Juvenile elephants killed 13 rhino between August and December last year. The elephants are orphans of elephants culled in the Kruger National Park. Their vicious behaviour has been attributed to their growing up in Hluhluwe-Umfolozi park without parental supervision. Park authorities have now urgently requested the Kruger National Park to supply 10 bull elephants in the hope that they will be able to discipline the juveniles. <http://www.elephantcountryweb.com/NewElliesInfo.html> [NOTE: Scroll down or use "find on current page" search to locate title and text of article.]

© Copyright March 31, 2002 , May 19, 2006 by James Roger Brown and Emily Catherine Brown. All rights reserved.